

1
2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
7 AT SEATTLE

8 JERRY GEORGE WOOD JR,
9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 DAN STITES, et al.,
12 Defendant.

CASE NO. C18-983-MJP-BAT

**ORDER GRANTING
DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO FILE
OVER-LENGTH BRIEF**

13 Defendants request leave to file an over-length brief (of 11 additional pages) in support of
14 their motion for summary judgment. Dkt. 69.

15 Motions seeking approval to file an over-length brief may be filed as soon as possible but
16 no later than three days before the underlying motion or brief is due, shall be noted for
17 consideration for the day on which it is filed, shall be no more than two pages in length, and shall
18 request a specific number of additional pages. LCR 7(f). If the motion is granted, the brief in
19 opposition will automatically be allowed an equal number of additional pages and in all cases,
20 the reply brief shall not exceed one-half the total length of the brief filed in opposition. *Id.*

21 Defendants have complied with the local rule in requesting their over-length brief. They
22 assert that the excess pages requested are needed to properly address the numerous allegations
23 made against each of the five individually named defendants and to set forth the relevant factual

1 background. Dkt. 69.

2 Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that the motion (Dkt. 69) is **GRANTED**. Defendants are
3 allowed an additional 11 pages in their brief supporting their motion for summary judgment.
4 Plaintiff is allowed an additional 11 pages to respond to defendants' motion for summary
5 judgment and defendants are allowed extra pages in their reply, up to one-half the total length of
6 plaintiff's opposition brief, pursuant to LCR 7(f).

7 The Clerk is directed to send a copy of this order to plaintiff and to counsel for
8 defendants.

9
10 DATED this 30th day of April, 2019.

11
12 
13 _____
14 BRIAN A. TSUCHIDA
15 United States Magistrate Judge
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23